Student Learning Results (Required for each accredited program, doctorate, masters, and baccalaureate)

Performance Indicator			Definition								
1. Student Learning Results (Required for each accredited program)		A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination).									
		Analysis o	f Results								
Performance Measure (Competency)	Description of Measurement Instrument to include Formative, summative,	Areas of Success	Analysis and Action Taken	Results of Action Taken (occurs in the following	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends for 3-5 Years (please graph all available data up to five years)						
	internal, external, or comparative.			year)						_	
Assessment of the seminar papers	Presentation and defense of seminar	Defended conclusions of the	If the success rate is	The target success rate	Rate of students succesfully passing the seminar paper (%)						
(feedback on	papers in front	seminar	lower than	maintained	BEM Modules Seminar Papers	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	
the content –	of a	paper (more	required		Business Economics	83 95	73 94	63 88	81 92	82 92	
problem- solving,	professional committee	than 80% of the	the supervisio		Financial Management Human Resources Management	100	94	81	82	84	
methodology, proposals and	(VŠEM lecturers,	presented papers	n process is		Information Systems and Technology Law and	99	92	95	91	93	
implementatio	practitioners)	successfully	modified		Entrepreneurship	82 90	87 79	85 66	88 88	82 86	
n –	once in a	defended)	and new		Management Managerial Economics	90	99	89	92	90	
presentation	month (newly		seminars		Marketing	99	96	93	96	95	
and defense of	implemented, previously only		are added (e.g.		Psychology and Sociology		71	74	80 36	79 44	
conclusions)	selected		presentati		Quantitative Methods Staffing and Human Resources			65	78	81	
	papers were		on skills,		Staffing and Human Resources II						

	presented).		writing		Average	93	87	75	82	83
	Quality		skills etc.)		MBA Modules					
			SKIIIS ELC.)		Seminar Papers Business Management	06-07 91	07-08 89	08-09 73	09-10 74	10-11 82
	examined by				Business Management	91	09	73	74	02
	the head of the				11	100	93	95	96	98
	related				Entry Module	100	100	92	98	96
	academic				Human Resources Management	94	92	92	88	94
	department				Managerial Economics		52		00	
	and the Vice-				and Finance	100	97	100	97	98
	Rector for				Marketing Environment Average	100 97	88 93	100 92	98 92	99 95
	Study Affairs,				Average	97	93	92	52	33
	every month									
	the best									
	seminar paper									
	is selected.									
	Direct,									
	formative,									
	internal,									
	external									
Assessment	Control by the	Answers of	Adjustme	Increasing	Overall written fina	al exa	m test	succe	ess rate	e (%)
of the final	Vice-Rector for	students in	nts of the	success						
written exams	Study Affairs	line with the	education	rate of the	100					
(BEM and	(selected	required	al	final written	80					
MBA)	successful final	learning	processes	exams,						
MDA)	tests –	•	•	,	60					BEM
		outcomes,	, training	although	40 —					
	evaluation of	overall	of	the						MBA
	the quality of	success	evaluators	bachelor	20 —					
	answers by	rate above		level target	0					
	students and	70% on the		not met			2000 (0.11	
	of the	bachelor			2007-08 20	08-09	2009-1	10 201	LO-11	
	assessments	level, above								
	themselves)	80% on the								
	once in a	MBA level.								
	month,	Objective								
	comprehensive	assessment								
	-									
	academic year	s by the								
	report	evaluators								

Assessment	discussed with the guarantors. Direct, summative, internal	Defended	In case	Target rate	Overall thesis defense success rate (%)
Assessment of the bachelor and master theses	Presentation and defense of theses in front of a professional committee (VŠEM lecturers, academic and other university representative s, practitioners), three times a year. Direct, summative, internal, external	Defended conclusions of the theses (more than 90% of the presented theses successfully defended)	In case the success rate is lower than the target rate, adjustmen ts in supervisio n, addition of seminars (e.g. presentati on skills, scientific work methodolo gy) or adjustmen ts in the education al process	Target rate is met	Overall thesis defense success rate (%)